

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/789,975		GATTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NIRAV PATEL		2435	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Mr. Alan W. Young (Reg. No. 37,970).

(2) Nirav Patel.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*The USC 101, 112*

Claims discussed:

*17, 20, 22, 24, 25*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated to applicant's representative that Claims 18, 19, 21, 23 contain minor informalities and discussed the 112 2nd and the 101 issue, which can be corrected with an Examiner's Amendment pertaining to claims 17-25.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)